

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | , F | TILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/751,718 | | 01/05/2004 | Siu Wing Or | MCHK/149/US | MCHK/149/US 2247 | |
| 2543 | 7590 | 03/24/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ALIX YA | LE & RIS | STAS LLP | BUDD, MARI | BUDD, MARK OSBORNE | | |
| 750 MAIN SUITE 140 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| HARTFOR | D, CT 0 | 6103 | | 2834 DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | _ |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - 1 |
| Advisory Action | 10/751,718 | OR ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mark Budd | 2834 | • |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addres | S * |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu e of the final rejection. | idavit, or other evidence, compliance with 37 CFR ust be filed within one of | which 41.31; or (3) the following |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a | extension fee action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to I within the time period set forth in 3 | avoid dismissal of the a 7 CFR 41.37(a). | ppeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); ow); otter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying the | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (PT | OL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | A |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendment of | canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ll be entered and an expl | anation of ^{1.} |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. As applicable in the control of the contr | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails to ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | o provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. | • |

Mark Budd Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: _____.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final ejections (12-30-05) are timely and proper. The duly cited art was necessitated by amendments made to the claims (11-21-05 by applicant. Do you rejections also made applicant's previous arguments note as they were not directed to the current grounds for rejection..

RIMARY EXAMINED